

Charlotte County Public Schools

# Charlotte High School



## 2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## **Table of Contents**

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Positive Culture &amp; Environment</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>19</b>

# Charlotte High School

1250 COOPER ST, Punta Gorda, FL 33950

<http://chs.yourcharlotteschools.net/>

## Demographics

**Principal: Cathy Corsaletti**

Start Date for this Principal: 6/16/2020

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2018-19 Title I School</b>	No
<b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	52%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	Asian Students Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students <b>English Language Learners</b> Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students
<b>School Grades History</b>	2018-19: B (59%) 2017-18: B (56%) 2016-17: B (56%) 2015-16: B (54%)
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Southwest
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">Lucinda Thompson</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	
<b>ESSA Status</b>	TS&I

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, [click here](#).

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Charlotte County School Board on 10/13/2020.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement

Be a Learner, Be a Leader, Be a Tarpon!

Be a Learner.....ever-learning, every moment of time, everywhere, and under all circumstances. We learn by working hard at school and always doing our best.

Be a Leader....Lead your own life, be a strong example for others, live by principles, and be an influence for good. Self-leadership is doing the right thing even when no one is looking.

Be a Tarpon.....Promote our Tarpon culture, reinforce our Tarpon fundamentals, and model high expectations for ourselves and others.

#### Provide the school's vision statement

Our vision is "Tarpons have MOJO" which stands for: Motivation for Success, Ownership of our actions, Journeys through education, and Optimism for the future. We continue to promote a culture where Tarpons do their best, do what is right and treat others the way they wish to be treated.

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Corsaletti, Cathy	Principal	Working together to create an engaging school climate that accelerates student learning is common sense. Each person in the partnership takes an active role and is accountable for effectively completing their individual responsibilities. The principal, along with each AP, oversees a specific department and works together to ensure that standards based instruction is taking place. With each working with a different department we are able to collaborate on strengths and weaknesses within our school. The assistant principal for curriculum work closely with guidance to develop a master schedule designed to give students the most flexibility for success. The assistant principal for discipline works closely with the deans to ensure student safety, monitors early warning signals for those students in need, and implements the MTSS process. The assistant principal for activities works with his team to provide students opportunities to build relationships with others and participate in our positive school culture outside of the classroom. The Principal works with all APs to promote our common vision.
Brown, Nick	Assistant Principal	
Damico, Jeff	Assistant Principal	
Pyle, Kathryn	Assistant Principal	
Tenney, Andrew	Assistant Principal	

## Demographic Information

### Principal start date

Tuesday 6/16/2020, Cathy Corsaletti

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

3

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

11

**Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school**

87

**Demographic Data**

<b>2020-21 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2018-19 Title I School</b>	No
<b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	52%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	Asian Students Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students English Language Learners Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students
<b>School Grades History</b>	2018-19: B (59%) 2017-18: B (56%) 2016-17: B (56%) 2015-16: B (54%)
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Southwest
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">Lucinda Thompson</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	
<b>ESSA Status</b>	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .	

**Early Warning Systems****Current Year**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	447	467	429	450	1793
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	78	60	80	278
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	36	31	23	136
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	144	167	140	130	581
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	123	91	124	459
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89	112	97	78	376
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	83	82	186
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	12

**Date this data was collected or last updated**

Thursday 6/18/2020

**Prior Year - As Reported****The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	456	475	486	477	1894
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	117	118	126	459
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	87	104	67	362
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	204	201	199	714
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	125	147	91	480

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**



Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	81	79	81	290

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	12

**Prior Year - Updated****The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	456	475	486	477	1894
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	117	118	126	459
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	104	87	104	67	362
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	204	201	199	714
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	125	147	91	480

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	81	79	81	290

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	12

**Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis****School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	51%	62%	56%	50%	62%	56%
ELA Learning Gains	50%	54%	51%	50%	57%	53%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	35%	45%	42%	41%	47%	44%

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
Math Achievement	54%	64%	51%	54%	67%	51%
Math Learning Gains	51%	56%	48%	43%	59%	48%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	53%	52%	45%	42%	57%	45%
Science Achievement	71%	72%	68%	68%	74%	67%
Social Studies Achievement	78%	80%	73%	75%	80%	71%

### EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)				Total
	9	10	11	12	
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

### Grade Level Data

**NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.**

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
09	2019	50%	53%	-3%	55%	-5%
	2018	46%	53%	-7%	53%	-7%
Same Grade Comparison		4%				
Cohort Comparison						
10	2019	49%	52%	-3%	53%	-4%
	2018	54%	53%	1%	53%	1%
Same Grade Comparison		-5%				
Cohort Comparison		3%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	72%	71%	1%	67%	5%
2018	69%	69%	0%	65%	4%
Compare		3%			

<b>CIVICS EOC</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>School Minus District</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>School Minus State</b>
2019					
2018					
<b>HISTORY EOC</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>School Minus District</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>School Minus State</b>
2019	81%	76%	5%	70%	11%
2018	74%	75%	-1%	68%	6%
Compare		7%			
<b>ALGEBRA EOC</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>School Minus District</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>School Minus State</b>
2019	45%	64%	-19%	61%	-16%
2018	60%	72%	-12%	62%	-2%
Compare		-15%			
<b>GEOMETRY EOC</b>					
<b>Year</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>School Minus District</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>School Minus State</b>
2019	60%	62%	-2%	57%	3%
2018	55%	60%	-5%	56%	-1%
Compare		5%			

**Subgroup Data**

<b>2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS</b>											
<b>Subgroups</b>	<b>ELA Ach.</b>	<b>ELA LG</b>	<b>ELA LG L25%</b>	<b>Math Ach.</b>	<b>Math LG</b>	<b>Math LG L25%</b>	<b>Sci Ach.</b>	<b>SS Ach.</b>	<b>MS Accel.</b>	<b>Grad Rate 2016-17</b>	<b>C &amp; C Accel 2016-17</b>
SWD	22	35	26	31	52	58	48	61		89	19
ELL	35	50	37	31			33	45		77	30
ASN	64	46									
BLK	42	37	16	43	46	50	60	59		96	30
HSP	47	50	41	55	57	65	62	75		91	47
MUL	42	41	43	46	37		71	65		94	47
WHT	53	52	37	57	53	55	74	82		95	52
FRL	45	45	34	49	49	58	65	72		93	42

<b>2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS</b>											
<b>Subgroups</b>	<b>ELA Ach.</b>	<b>ELA LG</b>	<b>ELA LG L25%</b>	<b>Math Ach.</b>	<b>Math LG</b>	<b>Math LG L25%</b>	<b>Sci Ach.</b>	<b>SS Ach.</b>	<b>MS Accel.</b>	<b>Grad Rate 2015-16</b>	<b>C &amp; C Accel 2015-16</b>
SWD	21	42	32	33	35	33	46	55		79	24
ELL	33	31	18								
ASN	83	69		67	45		80				
BLK	32	40	32	44	35		56	61		86	32

<b>2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS</b>											
<b>Subgroups</b>	<b>ELA Ach.</b>	<b>ELA LG</b>	<b>ELA LG L25%</b>	<b>Math Ach.</b>	<b>Math LG</b>	<b>Math LG L25%</b>	<b>Sci Ach.</b>	<b>SS Ach.</b>	<b>MS Accel.</b>	<b>Grad Rate 2015-16</b>	<b>C &amp; C Accel 2015-16</b>
HSP	40	43	41	52	46	53	48	68		87	44
MUL	53	56		60	63		80	67		79	55
WHT	53	52	42	55	42	38	72	78		92	52
FRL	44	48	43	48	42	42	60	66		84	37

**ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

**ESSA Federal Index**

ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I
OVERALL Federal Index - All Students	56
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	24
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	611
Total Components for the Federal Index	11
Percent Tested	96%

**Subgroup Data****Students With Disabilities**

Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	44
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0

**English Language Learners**

Federal Index - English Language Learners	40
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0

**Asian Students**

Federal Index - Asian Students	55
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

**Black/African American Students**

Federal Index - Black/African American Students	48
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO

<b>Black/African American Students</b>	
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Hispanic Students</b>	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	55
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Multiracial Students</b>	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	54
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Native American Students</b>	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Pacific Islander Students</b>	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>White Students</b>	
Federal Index - White Students	61
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
<b>Economically Disadvantaged Students</b>	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	52
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

## Analysis

### Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends**

The lowest performance in 2019 was ELA 10th Grade proficiency. As a cohort, the students did increase by 3%, however the overall proficiency declined. We believe one of the main factors is the students endurance to complete the test. Many are not motivated and give up right away.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline**

Our Algebra 1 EOC data from 2019 showed the lowest performance with a drop of 15%. Three years ago we changed our sequence and we were unable to maintain proficiency as intended.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends**

The greatest gap in 2019 when compared to the state is the ELA lowest 25%. We believe one of the main factors is the students endurance to complete the test. Many are not motivated and give up right away.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

The most improved area in 2019 was the math lowest 25%. We provided afterschool math tutoring, an Algebra 1 Boot Camp prior to the EOC, and supplemented instruction with Algebra Nation and Khan Academy.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?**

Student attendance and student failures in math and English are a concern and also those students exhibiting three or more warning signs.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year**

1. Increase learning gains in ELA and the ELA lowest 25%.
2. Increase Algebra 1 EOC proficiency
3. Increase College and Career Readiness.
4. Increase Proficiency of our ELL students.
5. Increase proficiency in ELA

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

### Areas of Focus:

**#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA**

<b>Area of Focus Description and Rationale:</b>	<p>ELA Learning Gains Lowest 25%</p> <p>Overall, we had a decrease from 41% to 35% in our lowest 25% in 2019. The focus will be to increase the gains of our lowest 25%.</p>
<b>Measureable Outcome:</b>	<p>The intended outcome is to increase our ELA Lowest 25% learning gains by 7%.</p>
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome:</b>	<p>Cathy Corsaletti (cathy.corsaletti@yourcharlotteschools.net)</p>
<b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	<p>We will continue our school-wide literacy-based initiative (year 4 of implementation). Prior data will be used as evidence.</p> <p>Literacy is so fundamental to learning that its importance cannot be overstated. It is the essential foundation of education. The ultimate aim of the literacy initiative is to equip our students with the necessary literacy skills that</p>
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	<p>Literacy is so fundamental to learning that its importance cannot be overstated. It is the essential foundation of education. The ultimate aim of the literacy initiative is to equip our students with the necessary literacy skills that</p>

**Action Steps to Implement**

1. Continue with PD for staff on November 3 and students.
2. Continue with cross-curricular Literacy Council meetings.
3. Monitor school-wide rubric and data for school-wide Literacy Initiative.
4. Increase the frequency of literacy skills in day to day activities
5. Introduce effective strategies to get students to think about, write about, read about, and talk about the content.
6. Block the lowest ELA students with a shared reading and English teacher for additional support.
7. Teachers will be provided data days to review the progress monitoring test data.
8. Provide a list to all teachers of the lowest 25% in ELA.
9. The reading and strategies support teacher will conference with each student regarding scores, progress monitoring, and what is needed for student to make the learning gain.
10. Use of IXL as a supplement for English Reading classes (level 1's and 2's).
11. Use of Study Sync as a supplement for Intensive Reading and Personal Career School Dev. courses.

**Person Responsible** Cathy Corsaletti  
(cathy.corsaletti@yourcharlotteschools.net)

**#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to English Language Learners**

<b>Area of Focus Description and Rationale:</b>	Increase English Language Learners (ELL) Subgroup Proficiency
<b>Measureable Outcome:</b>	Increase ELL subgroup by 3%
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome:</b>	Cathy Corsaletti (cathy.corsaletti@yourcharlotteschools.net)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	Working with content area teachers to support ELL students and the introduction of interactive technology. USA Test Prep will also be used.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	Students will be more supported during learning and will have a better understanding of the ELL student and their strengths and weaknesses.

**Action Steps to Implement**

1. Word lists of EOC courses will be supplied to teachers and students.
2. Use of tablets, Chromebooks, and apps with lessons and quizzes for student use
3. Student conferencing regarding student performance and goal setting to meet proficiency.
4. ELL teachers will visit each department to discuss ELL students and strategies for success.
5. Use of Google translation
6. Use of USA Prep English grade 10 to increase vocabulary and track success.

**Person Responsible** Cathy Corsaletti  
(cathy.corsaletti@yourcharlotteschools.net)



**#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math**

**Area of Focus** Algebra 1 EOC Proficiency  
**Description and Rationale:** Rationale The Algebra 1 EOC in 2019 was our greatest decline dropping 15%.

**Measureable Outcome:** Increase our Algebra 1 EOC proficiency by 10%.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome:** Cathy Corsaletti (cathy.corsaletti@yourcharlotteschools.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy:** Some evidence-based strategies will include a holistic approach to instruction as well as using web-based interactive software. Algebra Nation and Khan Academy will also be used by our math teachers and students.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:** Blending the traditional strategies with the current to focus on the teacher/student relationship in tandem with the use of technology to enhance student achievement.

**Action Steps to Implement**

1. Use of software including Algebra Nation and Khan Academy (including using results from district-wide PSAT testing to generate individual learning plans).
2. Algebra 1 PLC's and teacher data days.
3. Algebra 1 boot camp/ after school tutoring.
4. Algebra 1 teacher/student conferencing (including student data review and goal setting).
5. Use of school-wide literacy initiative in all math classes.
6. Intentional scheduling of students in Algebra classes.
7. Teachers will receive information on their current students and the lowest 25% of students.

**Person Responsible** Cathy Corsaletti (cathy.corsaletti@yourcharlotteschools.net)

**#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Career & Technical Education**

<b>Area of Focus Description and Rationale:</b>	Increase % of students in the College and Career/ Acceleration Over the last few years many seniors have graduation without earning a college and career acceleration point.
<b>Measureable Outcome:</b>	For the 2020-21 school year, we are planning to increase 5% from our most current data.
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome:</b>	Jeff Damico (jeff.damico@yourcharlotteschools.net)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	To build a master schedule that supports this goal to include several options for students to earn an acceleration point.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:</b>	By building a master schedule it will increase our options for student placement and include more opportunities for students to earn college and career points.

**Action Steps to Implement**

1. All 9th graders will be placed in a course/section where they will have the opportunity to earn an acceleration point.
2. 11th/12th grader transcript review to see if acceleration point was earned / if not attempt to place in classes for opportunity to gain acceleration point.
3. Additional AP and AICE course offerings.
4. Additional teacher with qualifying industry certification course.
5. Monitor student certifications earned by teacher/course.
6. Addition of 4 new industry certifications classes.

**Person Responsible** Jeff Damico (jeff.damico@yourcharlotteschools.net)

**Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

**All areas in 2.E have been addressed in our areas of focus.**

**Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

School culture develops as staff members interact with each other, the students, and the community. It becomes the guide for behavior that is shared among members of the school at large. Culture is shaped by the interactions of the stakeholders. Everyone has a role to play in building a positive school climate. Success depends on a whole school approach that includes the active involvement of school leaders, staff members, students, parents/guardians and community members who are committed to a shared vision of a safe, inclusive and accepting school community. Students and staff are reminded daily over the intercom of our MOJO philosophy... Motivation for success, Ownership of your actions, Journeys through education, and Optimism for the future. It just becomes the way we do things at CHS. At the beginning of the year each class is assembled to talk about culture and expectations for the upcoming year. This year due to CDC guideline restrictions, this information will be recorded and presented to the students. Several mentoring opportunities are available throughout the school.

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

## Part V: Budget

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$2,100.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	510-Supplies	0031 - Charlotte High School	School Improvement Funds		\$1,000.00
<i>Notes: Supplies for Literacy Program</i>						
	6400	140-Substitute Teachers	0031 - Charlotte High School	School Improvement Funds		\$1,100.00
<i>Notes: Subs for data days</i>						
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: English Language Learners				\$100.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21

	5100	510-Supplies	0031 - Charlotte High School	School Improvement Funds		\$100.00
<b>3</b>	<b>III.A.</b>	<b>Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math</b>				<b>\$1,700.00</b>
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	510-Supplies	0031 - Charlotte High School	School Improvement Funds		\$800.00
<i>Notes: Supplies for Algebra tutoring/boot camp</i>						
	6400	140-Substitute Teachers	0031 - Charlotte High School	School Improvement Funds		\$900.00
<i>Notes: Subs for data days</i>						
<b>4</b>	<b>III.A.</b>	<b>Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Career &amp; Technical Education</b>				<b>\$200.00</b>
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	6400	140-Substitute Teachers	0031 - Charlotte High School	School Improvement Funds		\$200.00
					<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$4,250.00</b>